

From: [P.Mann](#)
To: [Tami Booth](#); [ShaToya Bentley](#)
Cc: [Prosper-SM East Portland TIF](#)
Subject: Follow-Up to In-Meeting Objection Under ORS Chapter 192 (CLC Meeting 13 May 2026)
Date: Monday, May 18, 2026 5:30:34 PM

To the Committee Chairs, and Committee Support Staff,

This message provides **written notice**, as required under **ORS Chapter 192**, following the objection to procedural defects that I raised in the *East 205 TIF District Community Leadership Committee's* meeting on May 13, 2026. Oregon Public Meetings Law requires that objections be placed on the record and followed by written notice to ensure the public body has an opportunity to cure the defect and preserve the integrity of the public record.

This notice documents the objection, cites the relevant statutory requirements, and requests corrective action consistent with Oregon law and City policy.

I'm not seeking to delay, obstruct, or interfere with the Committee's work. My purpose in raising these procedural concerns is to strengthen the Committee's ability "**to ensure and institutionalize the representation, elevation, and centering of Priority Community needs and voices.**"¹ Clear agendas, observable deliberations, accurate minutes, and clear procedures aren't "technicalities". They are essential tools that protect *public trust, enable meaningful participation* by Priority Community members, and make the Committee's recommendations *credible and durable*. I respectfully request corrective steps so the Committee can continue its work more effectively and equitably.

I'm relying on the minimum requirements of the state's Public Meetings Law only because the Committee has, so far, not adequately addressed continuing issues with Training ("onboarding") of the Committee, Governance of it, or *effectively engaged community members in our work*.

Legal Basis for Objection

The objection is grounded in the following provisions of Oregon Public Meetings Law:

- **ORS 192.640** — Meeting notice must include an Agenda clearly listing the

principal subjects to be considered, *stated with sufficient specificity for the public to understand whether to attend.*

- **ORS 192.630(4)** — The public must be able to *observe all of the deliberations* of the public body, the committee.
- **ORS 192.650** — Minutes must provide a *true reflection* of the matters discussed and actions taken; materials not discussed may not be inserted into the minutes after the meeting.
- **Attorney General’s Public Records and Meetings Manual (2024)** — Clarifies that vague agenda descriptions, undisclosed materials, and unobservable deliberations undermine the public’s right to meaningful access.

Summary of Objection Raised During the Meeting

1. Insufficient Pre-Meeting Materials

The agenda and presentation slides provided before the meeting did not describe the principal subjects *with enough detail for members of the public to understand what would be discussed or to prepare for the meeting.* This violates **ORS 192.640(1)** and the AG Manual’s requirement that agenda descriptions be specific enough for the public to determine whether to attend. This also undermines the committee's ability to prepare and have meaningful deliberations.

2. Minutes Contained Post-Meeting Materials

The draft minutes included documents and narrative created by staff after the meeting, which were *not presented, discussed, or referenced* during the April meeting itself. This violates **ORS 192.650(1)**, which requires minutes to reflect only what occurred during the meeting.

3. Breakout Groups Not Observable by the Public

The Committee broke into smaller groups to discuss substantive subject matter. Because it's not possible for members of the public to observe all these simultaneous discussions —especially remote attendees—this violated **ORS 192.630(4)**, which requires that the public be able to observe the deliberations

of the public body.

4. Conducting Meeting Without Adopted Bylaws

For the sixth month, the Committee continued to conduct business *without adopted bylaws*, despite the Charter and TIF Plan requiring the Committee to operate under defined procedures. The absence of bylaws creates procedural uncertainty that has increased the risk of repeated violations of **ORS Chapter 192, City Code 3.40**, and **Binding City Policy ADM-17.02**.

Request for Cure and Corrective Action

To ensure compliance with Oregon law, *City policy, and the values, visions and goals of the East 205 TIF Plan and Governance Charter* I respectfully request that the Committee take the following actions:

- **Correct the minutes** by removing all materials not presented or discussed during the April meeting, consistent with **ORS 192.650**.
- **Re-notice and re-hear** all agenda items for which the public did not receive adequate advance description under **ORS 192.640**.
- **Affirm that all future deliberations** will occur in a manner observable to the public, including remote attendees, consistent with **ORS 192.630(4)**.
- **Revisit and revise and adopt** formal bylaws *after the committee has received training* consistent with ORS **public meetings requirements, City Code**, and **City Policy**.
- **Include this written objection** in the public record of the May meeting and attach it to the corrected minutes, as recommended by the Attorney General's manual.

Preservation of Rights

This written notice *ensures the Committee has a full opportunity to cure the defects*, preserves a committee member's rights under **ORS Chapter 192** and attempts to satisfy the obligations of a public official.

I want to emphasize that my purpose in raising these concerns is to strengthen

the Committee's ability "**to ensure and institutionalize the representation, elevation, and centering of Priority Community needs and voices.**" Given the risks of harm to the historically underserved and least empowered communities who will be affected by the East 205 TIF District, it is the least the CLC Committee must do.

Respectfully, in Support of the work and our Priority Communities,

Parker Fitzpatrick

Hazelwood Resident

Community Leadership Committee Volunteer

EAST 205 Tax Increment Finance District

Statement of Compliance: This material prepared and presented with the intent to fully comply with all applicable requirements for public officials under Oregon law, including but not limited to Oregon Government Ethics Law (ORS Chapter 244), Oregon Public Meetings Law (ORS 192.610–192.690), Oregon Public Records Law (ORS 192.311–192.478), and all relevant Multnomah County and City of Portland ethics, transparency, and public-engagement rules. My purpose is to support open, accountable, and equitable public service consistent with these standards.