

EAST 205 COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Details:

Date: February 11, 2026

Time: 5:30 pm to 7:30 pm

Location: Rosewood Initiative

Online: Zoom

Attendees (In-Person/Online):

Name	Role	Name	Role
Wes Ayers	CLC	Raul Preciado Mendez	Portland Housing Bureau (PHB)
ShaToyia Bentley	CLC	Jessi Conner	Portland Housing Bureau (PHB)
Tami Booth	CLC	Paula Byrd	Community Liaison
Olena Borova	CLC	Anielis Raas	Prosper Portland
Daniel Carillo	CLC	Angela Nguyen	Prosper Portland
Parker Fitzpatrick	CLC	Jennifer Pruess	Prosper Portland
Terrence Hayes	CLC		
Emily Hutsell	CLC		
Eric Knox	CLC		
Andy Miller	CLC		
Kristin Romaine	CLC		
Karen Wolfgang	CLC		

Meeting Minutes:

Meeting commenced at 5:38 pm

1) Welcome

Anielis Raas (Prosper Portland) began the meeting with a welcome and review of the agenda. Anielis highlighted the two major decision points for the CLC.

2) Guiding Agreements

Anielis reviewed the guiding agreements to center the meeting's discussions.

3) Introductions and Roll Call

Jessi Conner (PHB) to conduct roll call of the CLC members.

After the roll call, Paula Byrd (Community Liaison) opened the group to sharing in the icebreaker question. Staff and CLC members provided introductions and responses to the icebreaker. The CLC members shared appreciation of their community as a space with proximity to the non-profits and community work, diverse food, welcoming environment for immigrant and multi-generational families in the community, natural environment, parks and library, accessibility to community resources (grocery store, gyms, kid-oriented spaces), hopes for Black-centered spaces, and appreciation for mom and pop shops/small business.

4) Administrative Items / Housekeeping

Raul Preciado Mendez (PHB) reviewed the housekeeping items and reminders which included email contacts, honoraria, and required City training. In addition to the reminders, Raul noted the CLC's opportunity to shift the current meeting cadence of Wednesday at 5:30 pm.

Kristin Romaine (CLC) asked if the Honoraria remains in the district if a CLC member chooses to opt out. City staff clarified that the money would remain in the district.

5) Bylaws and Governance

Jessi opened the Bylaws and Governance discussion with the CLC's role and responsibility, include City staff roles, Community Liaison, and facilitator (to be determined).

Kristin asked if the facilitator had been selected for the process as she would like someone with a multi-cultural background and experience in community work. Jessi added a note clarification that the requests-for-proposals were centered around their facilitation and community work experience.

Parker Fitzpatrick (CLC) shared concerns about the timing of bringing in a facilitator given that the CLC has not decided the governing and decision-making process. Anielis shared that the facilitator will be helpful in guiding the conversation with the expectation of following the CLC's governing process.

Next, Jessi covered the details regarding the City's bylaws template and noting the items that the CLC's decision and agency in determining for the governing process. The following slides show the items that require CLC decision:

- Membership and Terms
- General Operation Procedures
- Membership Removal

The first item for decision on Consensus Decision Making and style. Consensus still requires a quorum (at least seven members present) and final vote.

- Consensus: Everyone has to agree
- Consensus minus one: All but one has to agree
- Consensus minus two: All but two have to agree

Karen Wolfgang (CLC) asked for examples of decisions that the CLC would have to make. Jessi provided examples such as term length, portions of meetings missed, affordable/economic priority recommendations and set-aside dollars.

ShaToyia Bentley (CLC) asked for clarifications if housing and economic development priorities would occur together or separately. Jessi and Anielis clarified the process and how it would work during the Action Plan process.

Kristin asked if there would a potential for the CLC to make definition for the types of RFPs in the priorities. Jessi noted that the CLC can note that and how to include that in the Action Plan. Raul added clarification for RFP means: Request for Proposal.

Tami Booth (CLC) asked for an example that a decision-making process for another district. City staff provided examples from Cully and their consensus minus two decision making and voting style.

Andy Miller (CLC) wanted to note that CLC should focus on the resources and make recommendations on how it does not harm people. Jessi clarified that there are opportunities in the Action Plan to highlight the points and concerns of the CLC and their recommendations. Anielis added that the CLC's task is to recommend the Action Plan based on the priorities and current anticipated set-aside.

Jessi opened the group up to consensus making decision options (consensus, consensus minus one, and consensus minus two, including opportunity for proposal). Andy proposed the consensus minus two and shift to consensus minus one if there is one person who does not agree present is not living in the district. ShaToyia opposed it as it is important to hear one another's decision and provide input. Parker added that he while he understands Andy's proposal, he recognizes that there might not be a possibility due to policy and legalities. Parker also noted that in consensus, each member can speak and provide input whether in agreement or disagreement while noting that Roberts Rules of Order can open potential for competition. Tami added agreement with Parker and proposed to the group for Consensus Minus Two. Tami walked through her reasoning as consensus can keep the group in a loop while consensus minus one can isolate a member.

After the break, Anielis checked the CLC if they were comfortable making a decision (12 of 12 CLC members present feel comfortable moving forward with the decision.)

Consensus

Yes to Consensus	No to Consensus
	ShaToyia
	Tami
	Olena
	Daniel
	Wes
	Parker
	Eric
	Andy
	Emily
	Karen
	Kristin

The CLC voted to not move forward with a consensus voting style.

Consensus Minus one

Yes to Consensus	No to Consensus
	ShaToyia
	Tami
	Olena
	Daniel
	Wes
	Parker
	Eric
	Andy
	Emily
	Karen
	Kristin

The CLC voted to not move forward with a consensus minus one voting style.

Consensus Minus Two

Yes to Consensus	No to Consensus
ShaToyia	
Tami	
Olena	
Daniel	
Wes	
Parker	
Eric	
Andy	
Emily	
Karen	
Kristin	

The CLC voted to move forward with a consensus minus two voting style.

Kristin asked if the community and the public could have input in the Action Plan and priorities. Jessi and Anielis clarified the community engagement process. ShaToyia also added to the request for the CLC to explore alternative affordable housing. Kristin added a request for hearing from others and projects.

Jessi shifted the group to their next decision: term lengths. The inaugural group has staggered term limits and the CLC will need to decide the length of term for subsequent terms. Andy asked if there was an option to renew and term limits and where the CLC will be in two years. Jessi confirmed that there are term limits of eight consecutive years and secondly, in two years the Action Plan should be hopefully implemented and after that, the CLC will be meeting quarterly to make different decisions or reviewing the implementation of the Action Plan.

Wes asked what happens when someone leaves or a new recruitment opens. Anielis noted that the process will be up to the CLC's involvement, City staff, and input from the community. For example, recruitment for the current CLC. The recruitment process can open as there is a need for it. Emily Hutsell (CLC) asked if there was an opportunity to pull alternates for openings. City staff noted that it can be part of the process to be determined.

Jessi asked if the CLC is comfortable to moving forward with a decision or to table for the next meeting. The CLC opted to table this decision for the next meeting.

Jessi, then, walked the CLC through the remaining decision points that the CLC will decide on for the next meeting: Removal of Members, Co-Chair nominations, Co-Chair term lengths,

6) Co-Chair Nominations

Paula noted that the CLC can make the determination of CLC Subcommittee and what the CLC is interested in exploring. Jessi opened up nominations and those who are interested or would like to nominate to connect with Paula.

Emily asked if there was a possibility for the CLC members to receive bios to support nominations. City staff noted that the bios that were used for City Council approval will be shared.

7) Public Comment

Sonya Odighizwa: Asked that they observed contractors were at previous meetings, what role does the contractor have in the CLC. City staff clarified that the meetings are open to the public and anyone is welcome. However, their engagement and participation is not a part of the Action Plan process.

8) Next Steps

Meeting adjourned at 7:35 pm