**Note:** The following are DRAFT Meeting minutes for the Cully Community Leadership Committee – they will be marked approved and reposted when they are voted on by the new committee.

### Cully Community Leadership Committee February 28, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

**Committee Members in Attendance:** Jorge Sanchez Bautista, Andre Broadous, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Cecelia Lente, Bella Mata, Sharon Maxwell, Towanda Perry, Ginger Rogers, Julia Tienson, Karen Torres-Olguin, Sky Waters

**Staff in Attendance:** Dana DeKlyen, Kathryn Hartinger, Brian Moore, Lisa Abuaf (Prosper Portland); Jessica Conner, Helmi Hisserich (Portland Housing Bureau); Luis Velasco, Verity Vogel (Living Cully)

**Meeting begins at 6:15**; Kathryn confirmed Zoom participants could hear.

Staff gave a presentation introducing the Committee to staff, the tool of Tax Increment Financing (TIF), the Cully TIF Plan, and the role of the Community Leadership Committee. The first major task of the committee will be to establish by-laws.

Discussion clarified that all meetings will have a hybrid option. The link for each meeting will be on the Prosper Portland project website, along with agenda items for the next meeting. This is the first Cully TIF 5-year Action Plan.

No actions were taken by the Committee.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:55 PM

## Cully Community Leadership Committee March 27, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

**Committee Members in Attendance:** Jorge Sanchez Bautista, Andre Broadous, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Cecelia Lente, Bella Mata, Sharon Maxwell, Towanda Perry, Ginger Rogers, Julia Tienson, Karen Torres-Olguin, Sky Waters

**Staff in Attendance:** Kathryn Hartinger, Brian Moore, (Prosper Portland); Jessica Conner, (Portland Housing Bureau); Luis Velasco, Verity Vogel (Living Cully)

Meeting begins at 6:20; staff confirmed Zoom participants could hear.

-----

Jessi Conner (PHB) gave a presentation on what committee bylaws are, and that some of the items that are in the bylaws template have actually already been determined in the Governance Charter for the body. She identified decisions that need to be made and the group discussed. More detailed conversations of each topic will be taken up at the next meeting.

No actions were taken by the Committee.

Meeting adjourned at 8:05.

## Cully Community Leadership Committee April 24, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

Committee Members in Attendance: Jorge Sanchez Bautista, Andre Broadous, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Cecelia Lente, Bella Mata, Sharon Maxwell, Ginger Rogers, Gary Hollands, Julia Tienson, Karen Torres-Olguin, Sky Waters

**Staff in Attendance:** Dana DeKlyen, Kathryn Hartinger, Brian Moore (Prosper Portland); Jessica Conner (Portland Housing Bureau); Verity Vogel (Living Cully)

Meeting begins at 6:05; Staff confirmed Zoom participants could hear.

-----

**Verity:** Welcomed the members and launched a round of introductions and icebreaker *Committee Members introduced themselves.* 

Staff gave the presentation (link). Some questions and responses below.

#### Meeting Frequency

Jessi introduced the topic and staff recommendation around this issue – picking a low number, because whatever we have in the is the minimum number of meetings per year. We can always hold more.

**Question:** Do we have a calendar and what is the process and timeline? We will have more intensity, while building out the plan and will need more meetings.

**Question:** Are we going to put accountability and compliance in the plan? Jessi responded that accountability and compliance are in each program.

Gary for the bylaws – we should make it 4x per year.

Vote Taken: The body will meet 4x per year, or quarterly, at a minimum. All members approved.

#### Membership and Terms

**Comment:** 2 years, because it's a lot of work and life changes

**Elizabeth:** How can we catch up if the members change every 2 years?

**Ginger**: You can re-up your membership.

**Kathryn** reviewed the tradeoffs of 2, 3 and 4 year terms. Flexibility, but also continuity of the membership over time.

Gary: liked the 3-year option for the continuity, but I like the 2 years for the flexibility

Julia: Curious how many people decide to re-up?

Jessi: In my experience – 60/40 split. You can all serve for 8 years.

**Sharon:** Question to Verity and Luis – how will you provide outreach in the community to join these meetings, to help them get educated and involved? People are in a holding pattern, not knowing they can get involved. We have to do a better job.

**Verity:** I am starting to create a community engagement plan and talking about TIF with the partners.

**Luis:** I appreciate the question It is a call-in. We were a powerhouse. We are still operating the Living Black Cully and Verde - leadership development program.

**Sharon:** We have De LaSalle North Catholic – need more youth to be involved.

**Cecilia:** We need to get this part figured out before we invite the community to this meeting.

**Luis:** Some people don't know what TIF is. If someone has a connection with the school, we would be happy to connect with them.

**Sharon:** They need to be invited. Because when you have been marginalized, and people don't feel welcomed. We need to welcome them in.

**Luis** proposed that we have a goal of increasing community members in attendance at CLC meetings.

**Question:** If someone want to continue after 8 years.... How long do they have to wait? Ginger: that is if the body does not have set terms. But we will have a set term.

Vote Taken: Members who have served the 8-year maximum on the body will have to wait the duration of one term before reapplying. All members approved.

#### • Voting

**Staff** raised the issue of quorum for discussion. How many people have to be here to make a decision?

**Jorge:** Majority of seats is best.

**Gary:** The only issue I have with that is that the business doesn't go forward. If we have 7 people in attendance... we have to have a unanimous vote. And I am worried about not getting decisions made.

**Sky:** 7 feels good to me.

Elizabeth: My concern... what happens if you miss a meeting and the issue is already voted on?

**Jessi:** The timeline of planned votes will be scheduled. If you are not here, then you don't get to vote.

**Sharon**: That is a reason for having good relationships. To make sure people can attend.

**Ginger:** What about the modified consensus?

**Karen:** If there is a vote scheduled – would we be able to move the date, since it is a public meeting? Response: We can change the date of the meeting.

**Cecilia:** To have a meeting – there has to be 7, and if we want to vote, how many people? **Bella** would like to keep the show going.

After a lot of discussion is sounded like the was a leaning toward Quorum being 7. But there was no final decision. (Note: Action Item: Next meeting we will need to finalize this decision.)

#### Voting Methods

**Staff** reviewed options (not intended to be exhaustive list)

- Robert's Rules order majority
- Consensus Decision Making 100%
- Modified Consensus Decision Making minus 1 or minus 2
- Fist to Five read the room (alignment 3, 4 or 5 passes)

Jorge: Fist to five is confusing.

**Sharon:** For me being on the committee is a form of healing.... We can get stretched out of our comfort zone, which is good.

**Elizabeth:** I don't think the fist to five is good. My people think in consensus.

**Julia:** Fist to Five could have the benefit of a visual that might not otherwise happen in a more formal process.

**Sky:** Fist to five is more nuanced in the vote.

**Ginger:** Clarifying that fist to five is just one option. It feels like we are still able to do these things with the other models.

Jorge: It feels like it is important to make sure guests understand the voting process.

**Karen:** I like the fist to five as a temperature check tool. But not as a voting process.

**Luis:** Think of this as a tool for conflict.

**Andre:** Confirming that before we vote we will be asked our thoughts/opinion?

**Kathryn:** Yes. It could also just be red/yellow/green instead of the fist to five scale, if that's easier.

**Verity:** Is anyone leaning towards any of these models?

**Bella:** This is important to make decisions. Not just a simple yes or no.

Sharon: Go Consensus minus 1

**Gary:** What is the trade-off of these three models?

**Kathryn:** It is easier to get the votes done with the majority vote – and the trade-off is the ability to build relationships with the consensus model.

Cecilia: I like Consensus.

**Verity** – reviewed what's next... next month we will bring more formalized bylaws for review and make decisions on any outstanding issues. Reminder - May  $8^{th}$  event – ELC/CLC – 6:00-8:00 at Cully Central

#### Meeting Adjourned at 8:02pm

## Cully Community Leadership Committee May 23, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

**Committee Members in Attendance:** Jorge Sanchez Bautista, Andre Broadous, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Cecelia Lente, Sharon Maxwell, Ginger Rogers, Julia Tienson, Karen Torres-Olguin, Sky Waters

**Meeting begins at 6:05**; Staff confirmed Zoom participants could hear.

-----

Group kicks off meeting with discussion of decision-making styles.

Committee votes that they will utilize the Consensus minus 2 model of decision making. Vote is unanimous.

PRICE conversation: CLC members wanting a needs assessment, but staff informs them that will come in the Action Planning phase.

Committee expresses interest in a larger match for their \$100,000 contribution (\$20K per year for five years) – they want \$1 million of the grant proceeds rather than \$250,000.

Needs expressed: demographic information, park ownership (to the point we would get it) discussing the match further, budge of what the grant would look like. Jessi to get more information from her bureau.

PRICE support vote – support a potential future contribution of \$20K per year for five years, receiving \$1 million for work in the Cully TIF District boundary.

Yes: Sky, Julia, Andre, Towanda, Ginger, Cecilia, Elizabeth, Karen, Jorge

Abstained: Sharon

Meeting adjourned at 7:59.

## Cully Community Leadership Committee June 28, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

**Present:** Bella Matta, Julia Tienson, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Andre Broadous, Cecelia Lente, Ginger Rogers, Karen Torres- Olguin, Jorge Bautista; Sharon Maxwell arrived late (after bylaws vote)

Meeting began at 6:05 pm

-----

CLC voted on the by-laws at 6:19 pm

Yes votes: Bella Matta, Julia Tienson, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Andre Broadous, Cecelia Lente, Ginger Rogers, Karen Torres- Olguin, Jorge Bautista

August meeting discussion
7 CLC members voted yes to not having a CLC meeting in August
The motion passed

PRICE discussion begins.

The ask from PHB (letter of support and yes from the group)

**Vote Letter supporting PRICE vote and conversations** 

Yes: Bella Matta, Julia Tienson, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Andre Broadous, Cecelia Lente, Ginger Rogers, Karen Torres- Olguin, Jorge Bautista

**Abstain: Sharon Maxwell** 

PHB's programming presentation begins some sort of group agreements or norms

Public comment time at 7:52

Meeting adjourned 8:00 pm

# Cully Community Leadership Committee July 24, 2024 6-8 PM

#### **DRAFT MEETING MINUTES**

**Present:** Sky Waters, Cecelia Lente, Bella Matta, Elizabeth De Jesus Lopez, Sharon Maxwell, Julia Tienson, Gary Hollands, Jorge Bautista; online: Karen Torres-Olguin, Ginger Rogers

**Staff:** Camille Trummer (facilitator), Kathryn Hartinger, Dana DeKlyen, Brian Moore (Prosper Portland), Jessi Conner (PHB); Blythe Garma, Luis Velasco (Living Cully)

Brian and Luis started the meeting at 6:15 and introduced Camille Trummer.

Facilitated discussion 1: Group Agreements

<u>Facilitated discussion 2:</u> What are learnings from last meeting that can help us move forward? What do we now know about each other or our needs – that need to be met in order to do this work well, together?

<u>Facilitated discussion 3:</u> Are we ready to start talking about what working together might look like, while continuing repair?

**Group Assignment:** Send 1-3 group agreements that should be prioritized so we can co-create a list of group agreements and hold ourselves accountable. If the group feels ready, we can then pivot toward action planning – or if we need more time, we can continue engaging in repair work.

Meeting adjourned at 8:05.