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## Summary

The majority of streets after construction of the Interstate MAX light rail extension experienced a reduction in traffic volumes as compared to counts taken before construction. With the expected reduction in overall traffic volumes there were a few street segments that went against the trend and experienced an increase in volume. These streets are discussed in more detail in the section on anomalies. Recommendations are proposed to mitigate the increase in traffic volumes on N Denver Avenue and possibly on N Alberta Street.

## Expected Changes

In general it was expected that total traffic volume in the general area would reduce or remain steady when area residents began using the train as their primary mode of transportation. Total traffic in the general vicinity of the north Light Rail has decreased slightly as compared to typical volumes five years before. Total trips on major streets decreased by approximately 54,000 trips per day. Though this number seems high it represents only an $8 \%$ reduction in area daily trips.

Interstate Avenue experienced the greatest reduction in total traffic. Seven of the ten street segments experiencing the greatest percent drop in traffic volumes were on Interstate. An eighth location, Denver north of Columbia, is a continuation of N Interstate. This is to be expected given that Interstate Avenue is the site of the project and was reduced from two lanes in each direction to one.

Table 1. Greatest Reductions in Traffic Volume

| Street | Of | General Area | Change | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denver | N | Columbia | -3950 | $-22.1 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | S | Portland | -3240 | $-23.5 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | N | Killingsworth | -3470 | $-24.5 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | S | Skidmore | -3147 | $-26.4 \%$ |
| Ainsworth | W | Interstate | -920 | $-26.6 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | S | Alberta | -5090 | $-28.1 \%$ |
| Mississippi | N | Interstate | -840 | $-34.6 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | S | Mississippi | -8026 | $-36.5 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | N | Portland | -5640 | $-37.1 \%$ |
| Interstate Ave | N | Lombard | -10350 | $-54.2 \%$ |

Many lower volume local streets were also counted as part of the traffic monitoring for this project, but are not reported here. None of the low-volume local service streets measured after construction of light rail on north Interstate had increases in traffic volumes that did not directly relate to the elimination of access points along Interstate. The vast majority of such streets had traffic volume reductions.

## Anomalies

Traffic volume counts fluctuate daily and the Portland Office of Transportation considers a $10 \%$ variance to be within this daily fluctuation. Over time traffic volumes on streets also change as the population changes. Increases in daily traffic over an extended period are to be expected unless other factors influence those normal trends. On the streets around north Interstate the total daily trips have been holding steady or slightly reducing when comparing traffic counts before and after light rail construction on north Interstate. Streets that increase in total volume during the same time period deserve another look to determine if the project has had unintended negative consequences.

Table 2. Greatest Increases in Traffic Volume

| Street | Of | General Area | Change | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi | S | Skidmore | 1835 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Alberta | W | Albina | 3090 | $39.0 \%$ |
| Fremont | E | Mississippi | 1226 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Skidmore | E | Interstate | 730 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Denver | N | Killingsworth | 560 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Portland | 660 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Humboldt | 63 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Vancouver | N | Cook* | 960 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Alberta | E | Albina | 1021 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Denver | N | Portland | 460 | $9.4 \%$ |
| *One way street. |  |  |  |  |

## ALBINA-MISSISIPPI CORRIDOR

The Albina-Mississippi corridor experienced the most difficult to discern increase in traffic upon completion of the light rail line. It appears that recent revitalization of the business district may be the primary contributor to the significant increases in traffic volume because the increases are localized and do not extend much farther north or south.

Table 3. Albina-Mississippi Corridor Traffic Volumes

| Street | Of | General Area | Change | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont | E | Mississippi | 1226 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Fremont | E | Williams | -260 | $-2.2 \%$ |
| Mississippi | N | Interstate | -840 | $-34.6 \%$ |
| Mississippi | S | Fremont | -57 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Mississippi | S | Skidmore | 1835 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Albina | N | Killingsworth | -990 | $-16.6 \%$ |
| Albina | N | Ainsworth | -210 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| Albina | N | Alberta | -360 | $-7.3 \%$ |
| Albina | S | Alberta | -470 | $-8.0 \%$ |
| Albina | N | Portland | -390 | $-11.0 \%$ |


| Skidmore | W | Vancouver | -550 | $-11.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skidmore | W | Albina | 40 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Skidmore | E | Interstate | 730 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Skidmore | W | Interstate | -170 | $-10.2 \%$ |
| Alberta | E | Albina | 1021 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Alberta | W | Albina | 3090 | $39.0 \%$ |
| Alberta | E | Interstate | -270 | $-4.6 \%$ |
| Alberta | W | Interstate | 216 | $7.0 \%$ |

## NORTH DENVER AVENUE

Denver Avenue is another story. N Denver Avenue is a parallel pathway to Interstate Avenue and was identified early on, along with Greeley Avenue, as a potential diversion route for traffic from Interstate. N Greeley is a collector-level street, where traffic volume increases are planned to occur. The traffic volumes on N Greeley before light rail construction were commonly in excess of 10,000 vehicles per day. With such high volumes already, shifts from Interstate Avenue, though expected, were not anticipated to have a significant impact on Greeley residents. Much of Greeley is also designated as Neighborhood Collector; meaning vehicle use is given more weight than on a Local Service street like much of Denver.

North Denver is a Local Service street with traffic volumes over 5,000 vehicles per day north of Lombard, but less than that south of Portland Boulevard with a steady decrease the farther south on Denver you go. Between Lombard and Portland Denver is classified as a Neighborhood Collector and is the only segment where an increase in volume may be acceptable.

Table 4. Denver Corridor Traffic Volumes

| Street | Of | General Area | Change | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Denver | N | Columbia | -3950 | $-22.1 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Interstate | -790 | $-11.8 \%$ |
| Denver | N | Lombard | 60 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Lombard | 430 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Denver | N | Portland | 460 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Portland | 660 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Denver | N | Killingsworth | 560 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Killingsworth | -110 | $-5.2 \%$ |
| Denver | S | Humboldt | 63 | $10.5 \%$ |

N Denver, Portland to Killingsworth, is a Local Service street with residential uses along its frontage. The increase in traffic volume on this segment of N Denver is sufficient to warrant some type of mitigation.

## BEECH ELEMENTARY

The map in Figure 1 (next page) describes the data collected around Beech Elementary.

Figure 1. Beech Elementary Traffic Volumes


Before construction of the light rail line several hundred vehicles accessed the school from Interstate via Wygant. Since the rail tracks were constructed in the center of Interstate, N Alberta, a signalized intersection, serves as the primary pathway between the school and Interstate Avenue as well as the neighborhood north of Alberta. Denver Avenue is a logical new pathway to serve the school, but none of the volumes currently measured around the school are excessive for local streets. Alberta Avenue has volumes that are high, but not unexpected given the number of pathways that have been severed by the introduction of the rail tracks on Interstate. Table 5, below, indicates the vehicle speeds on Alberta have reduced from past years but remain high and may warrant treatment, though it is difficult to connect the driver behavior of speeding directly to the construction of light rail on Interstate. Alberta is posted for $25-\mathrm{mph}$.

Table 5. North Alberta 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Vehicle Speeds

| Location | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Denver to Concord, April 1996 | 34 mph | 34 mph |
| Concord to Interstate, Sept. 2002 | 32 mph | 30 mph |
| Concord to Interstate, Nov. 2004 | 29 mph | 31 mph |

## North Denver Traffic Speed and Gap Study

Speed counts and a gap study were conducted on north Denver to better understand the depth of the effect the current traffic volumes have on local residents.

## TRAFFIC SPEED

North Denver from Interstate to Watts is posted for 25 miles per hour. From Watts to Killingsworth it is posted for 35 miles per hour. South of Killingsworth, Denver is posted for 25 miles per hour. Table six reports the speeds measured on Denver between Interstate and Killingsworth.

Table 6. North Denver 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Vehicle Speeds

| Location | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| North of Winchell, April 2005 | 35 mph | 35 mph |
| North of Buffalo, April 2005 | 37 mph | 36 mph |
| North of Dekum, April 2005 | 38 mph | 38 mph |
| North of Holman, April 2005 | 35 mph | 35 mph |
| North of Jessup, April 2005 | 35 mph | 35 mph |

The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is the benchmark for comparing driver behavior to posted limits. For any $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, $85 \%$ of the drivers are going that speed or less, while $15 \%$ of drivers exceed that speed. It is preferred the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed matched the posted limit. The State Speed Control Board places great weight in the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed when considering changes in posted speed orders. Based on the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed alone, most drivers using Denver between Watts and Killingsworth appear to be respecting the current posted speed. Table 7, below, describes the number of speeders on an average day. From this table it appears the segment of north Denver from Lombard to Portland has the most non-compliant drivers.

Table 7. North Denver Speed Compliance

| Location | Volume | > 35 mph | >45 mph |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North of Winchell, April 2005 | 8850 | $1369(16 \%)$ | $17(0.2 \%)$ |
| North of Buffalo, April 2005 | 5170 | $1165(22 \%)$ | $31(0.6 \%)$ |
| North of Dekum, April 2005 | 5130 | $1671(32 \%)$ | $67(1.3 \%)$ |
| North of Holman, April 2005 | 4560 | $740(16 \%)$ | $32(0.7 \%)$ |
| North of Jessup, April 2005 | 3560 | $583(16 \%)$ | $16(0.4 \%)$ |

## GAP STUDY

A gap study compares the needed time for an average pedestrian to cross a street (curb to curb) with the typical gaps available in the traffic stream. The City of Portland has set a standard of 60 gaps per hour as the minimum acceptable number of gaps for pedestrian convenience. This implies that during the busiest traffic of the day a pedestrian would only need to wait an average of a minute to find a safe gap in traffic in order to cross a street. Streets with less than 60 gaps per hour are termed deficient and streets with less than 30 gaps per hour are termed severely deficient. Table 8 compares the available gaps to cross two opposing traffic streams at selected locations along north Denver Avenue.

Table 8. North Denver Gap Study

| Location | Gap <br>  Needed | Available Gaps per Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PM |  |
| North of Winchell, April 2005 | 21 sec. | 36 | 31 |
| North of Buffalo, April 2005 | 18 sec. | 82 | 78 |
| North of Dekum, April 2005 | 15 sec. | 79 | 59 |
| North of Holman, April 2005 | 18 sec. | 68 | 46 |
| North of Jessup, April 2005 | 18 sec. | 68 | 65 |

The gap study indicates that north Denver Avenue north of Lombard is the most difficult segment to cross during both the morning and evening commutes. Denver to Portland is the second most difficult to cross, especially during the evening commute. The middle segment of north Denver, Lombard to Portland appears to be the easiest to cross during peak hours for vehicle use. This may be due to the signals at each end that tend to bunch traffic together into platoons. Traffic that moves in groups may introduce larger gaps between the platoons that offset the very short gaps between the individual vehicles.

## Recommendations

North Denver Avenue from Interstate to Lombard did not have significant changes in traffic volume, nor does it have a defined speeding problem, but it does have the least hospitable crossing environment for pedestrians. Pedestrian crossing enhancements are the recommended mitigation to reduce the crossing distance and/or pedestrian exposure to vehicles in this widest section of Denver.

North Denver Avenue between Lombard Street and Portland Boulevard is classified as a Neighborhood Collector street in Portland's Transportation System Plan. The traffic volumes measured approximately 5300 vehicles per day. This volume is not excessive for a Neighborhood Collector street but does represent an increase of approximately $9 \%$ from volumes measured before construction when the more common trend on other streets has been a reduction in volume. This segment of Denver also has the most speeders with $22 \%$ to $32 \%$ of drivers exceeding the $35-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit; though crossing opportunities appear adequate. Pedestrian crossing enhancements could mitigate the increase in traffic volume with the current level of speeding. Statutory crossings on N Denver north of Bryant are substandard in spacing, with over 500 feet between Bryant and Buffalo and just over 600 feet between Buffalo and Lombard. Mid-block crossings on these two blocks are one possible solution to enhancing pedestrian crossing opportunities. Curb extensions from Bryant to Portland, inclusive, would improve crossing opportunities at the statutory crossing locations. Speed tables could also address specific concerns about vehicle speed.

North Denver Avenue between Portland Boulevard and Killingsworth Street is classified as a Local Service street in Portland’s Transportation System Plan. The traffic volumes measured on the street varied from 3300 to 4500 vehicles per day with a 500 to 600 vehicle per day increase since light rail construction (16-19\%). This volume is excessive for a Local Service street and is caused in part by the loss of capacity on N Interstate by the construction of light rail. Vehicle speeds are not excessive by City standards but do contribute to decreased safety when considering the volume increase. Pedestrian
crossing opportunities are sufficient in the morning but deficient during the evening commute. Speed tables preserve the current street capacity and would help to ensure that vehicle speeds remain near the posted speed limit. Speed tables may also make the street less attractive to drivers that do not have local destinations. The relocation of cut-through drivers with the use of speed tables may present a less costly method to mitigate traffic volume increases on N Denver than pedestrian enhancements. A typical project of this length would employ up to six speed tables. Pedestrian crossing enhancements would increase the crossing opportunities for pedestrians also without significant impact on street capacity. The segment of N Denver from Portland to Killingsworth is divided into four long blocks. These blocks are 585 to over 600 feet long. City standards for pedestrian crossings are 200 to 300 -foot maximum spacing. Mid-block crossings with pedestrian refuge islands or curb extensions are one way to increase the pedestrian crossing opportunities on this segment of north Denver.

North Alberta Street currently carries up to 3200 vehicles per day. This is a large number of vehicles, though not a significant increase from 2002. Alberta is signalized at Interstate and has been for many years, which is the likely reason for higher than typical traffic volumes. Alberta has had an increase in volume since light rail construction, though this was expected since alternative routes into and out of the neighborhood have been significantly reduced. The vehicle speeds on the street are sufficient to trigger a speed bump traffic calming project under Portland guidelines.

## Treatment Options

The most common treatment to enhance a pedestrian crossing is a curb extension. At specified crossing locations the roadside curb is constructed approximately seven feet closer to the street centerline. Curb extensions shorten the distance a pedestrian is exposed to traffic while crossing the street and increase the visibility between pedestrians and drivers. The most common alternative is a pedestrian refuge island constructed at the centerline of the street. Refuge islands make it possible for pedestrians to cross one half of a street at a time. In this way shorter gaps in each direction of traffic can be combined with a short pause at the center of the street to increase total crossing opportunities. Each pedestrian crossing location that is improved could cost from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 20,000$ for capital costs. Mid-block crossings would require removal of on street parking adjacent to the marked crossings or islands.

Speed tables are the common name for Portland's 22-foot speed bump design. This design is commonly used on higher speed and higher volume streets, and where Tri-Met operates. Speed tables slow drivers based on how close they are spaced and typically reduce the average $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed into the 28 to 32 mph range. Standard speed tables cost approximately $\$ 2,000$ each for construction, including staff time for the public process. Speed tables do not impact parking.

Speed bumps are the common name for Portland's 14 -foot speed bump design. This design is commonly used on Local Service streets. Speed bumps slow drivers based on how close they are spaced and typically reduce the average $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed into the 20 to 28 mph range. Standard speed bumps cost approximately $\$ 2,000$ each including construction and staff time for the public process. Speed bumps do not impact parking.

## Appendix A - Data Summary - Streets Listed Alphabetically

| Rank | Street | Of | General Area | Volume 1 | Year 1 | Volume 2 | Year 2 | Change | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Ainsworth | E | Greeley | 1500 | 2000 | 1540 | 2004 | 40 | 2.7\% |
| 24 | Ainsworth | W | Denver | 2130 | 1998 | 2150 | 2004 | 20 | 0.9\% |
| 52 | Ainsworth | E | Albina | 5240 | 2000 | 4750 | 2004 | -490 | -9.4\% |
| 60 | Ainsworth | E | Interstate | 4050 | 2000 | 3530 | 2004 | -520 | -12.8\% |
| 70 | Ainsworth | W | Interstate | 3460 | 2000 | 2540 | 2004 | -920 | -26.6\% |
| 2 | Alberta | W | Albina | 7930 | 1998 | 11020 | 2004 | 3090 | 39.0\% |
| 9 | Alberta | E | Albina | 10560 | 1999 | 11581 | 2005 | 1021 | 9.7\% |
| 13 | Alberta | W | Interstate | 3050 | 2002 | 3350 | 2004 | 300 | 10.0\% |
| 44 | Alberta | E | Interstate | 5860 | 2001 | 5590 | 2004 | -270 | -4.6\% |
| 42 | Albina | N | Ainsworth | 5060 | 1999 | 4850 | 2004 | -210 | -4.2\% |
| 49 | Albina | N | Alberta | 4940 | 1997 | 4580 | 2004 | -360 | -7.3\% |
| 50 | Albina | S | Alberta | 5890 | 2000 | 5420 | 2004 | -470 | -8.0\% |
| 57 | Albina | N | Portland | 3540 | 2001 | 3150 | 2004 | -390 | -11.0\% |
| 62 | Albina | N | Killingsworth | 5960 | 1999 | 4970 | 2004 | -990 | -16.6\% |
| 51 | Argyle Way | W | Denver | 7580 | 1994 | 6900 | 2004 | -680 | -9.0\% |
| 5 | Denver | N | Killingsworth | 2830 | 2001 | 3390 | 2004 | 560 | 19.8\% |
| 6 | Denver | S | Portland | 3900 | 2001 | 4560 | 2004 | 660 | 16.9\% |
| 7 | Denver | S | Humboldt | 598 | 1996 | 661 | 2004 | 63 | 10.5\% |
| 10 | Denver | N | Portland | 4910 | 1996 | 5370 | 2004 | 460 | 9.4\% |
| 12 | Denver | S | Lombard | 4910 | 1996 | 5340 | 2004 | 430 | 8.8\% |
| 28 | Denver | N | Lombard | 8840 | 1995 | 8900 | 2004 | 60 | 0.7\% |
| 45 | Denver | S | Killingsworth | 2110 | 2000 | 2000 | 2004 | -110 | -5.2\% |
| 58 | Denver | S | Interstate | 6700 | 2000 | 5910 | 2004 | -790 | -11.8\% |
| 66 | Denver | N | Columbia | 17910 | 2000 | 13960 | 2004 | -3950 | -22.1\% |
| 3 | Fremont | E | Mississippi | 3220 | 1995 | 4446 | 2005 | 1226 | 38.1\% |
| 34 | Fremont | E | Williams | 12050 | 2000 | 11790 | 2004 | -260 | -2.2\% |
| 35 | Going | W | Interstate | 24629 | 2000 | 24070 | 2005 | -559 | -2.3\% |
| 23 | Greeley | N | Killingsworth | 16320 | 2001 | 16550 | 2004 | 230 | 1.4\% |
| 32 | Greeley | S | Going | 28230 | 2000 | 27792 | 2005 | -438 | -1.6\% |
| 36 | Greeley | N | Portland | 6390 | 2000 | 6220 | 2004 | -170 | -2.7\% |
| 46 | Greeley | S | Killingsworth | 18320 | 1999 | 17360 | 2004 | -960 | -5.2\% |
| 47 | Greeley | N | Going | 18850 | 2000 | 17792 | 2005 | -1058 | -5.6\% |
| 48 | Greeley | S | Portland | 17560 | 2000 | 16380 | 2004 | -1180 | -6.7\% |
| 64 | Interstate Ave | S | Overlook | 10510 | 2000 | 8545 | 2005 | -1965 | -18.7\% |
| 67 | Interstate Ave | S | Portland | 13790 | 1995 | 10550 | 2004 | -3240 | -23.5\% |
| 68 | Interstate Ave | N | Killingsworth | 14170 | 1996 | 10700 | 2004 | -3470 | -24.5\% |
| 69 | Interstate Ave | S | Skidmore | 11912 | 2000 | 8765 | 2005 | -3147 | -26.4\% |
| 71 | Interstate Ave | S | Alberta | 18140 | 2001 | 13050 | 2004 | -5090 | -28.1\% |
| 73 | Interstate Ave | S | Mississippi | 22000 | 2000 | 13974 | 2005 | -8026 | -36.5\% |
| 74 | Interstate Ave | N | Portland | 15210 | 2000 | 9570 | 2004 | -5640 | -37.1\% |


| 75 | Interstate Ave | N | Lombard | 19080 | 1999 | 8730 | 2004 | -10350 | -54.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | Interstate Pl | N | Willis | 2010 | 2001 | 1956 | 2005 | -54 | -2.7\% |
| 15 | Killingsworth | W | Interstate | 5120 | 2000 | 5409 | 2005 | 289 | 5.6\% |
| 19 | Killingsworth | E | Albina | 11650 | 2001 | 12000 | 2003 | 350 | 3.0\% |
| 31 | Killingsworth | W | Denver | 4610 | 1998 | 4570 | 2004 | -40 | -0.9\% |
| 38 | Killingsworth | E | Interstate | 7760 | 2000 | 7538 | 2005 | -222 | -2.9\% |
| 41 | Killingsworth | W | Albina | 12270 | 2000 | 11761 | 2005 | -509 | -4.1\% |
| 30 | Lombard | E | Greeley | 23810 | 1995 | 23660 | 2004 | -150 | -0.6\% |
| 40 | Lombard | W | Vancouver | 24940 | 2000 | 23980 | 2004 | -960 | -3.8\% |
| 1 | Mississippi | S | Skidmore | 3795 | 1996 | 5630 | 2004 | 1835 | 48.4\% |
| 33 | Mississippi | S | Fremont | 3120 | 2000 | 3063 | 2005 | -57 | -1.8\% |
| 72 | Mississippi | N | Interstate | 2430 | 2000 | 1590 | 2004 | -840 | -34.6\% |
| 14 | Portland | E | Albina | 9740 | 1998 | 10345 | 2005 | 605 | 6.2\% |
| 17 | Portland | W | Denver | 10760 | 1998 | 11190 | 2004 | 430 | 4.0\% |
| 39 | Portland | E | Greeley | 11370 | 2000 | 11020 | 2004 | -350 | -3.1\% |
| 61 | Portland | W | Albina | 14420 | 1998 | 12410 | 2004 | -2010 | -13.9\% |
| 65 | Portland | E | Interstate | 17840 | 2000 | 14320 | 2003 | -3520 | -19.7\% |
| 59 | Russell | E | Mississippi | 3789 | 1995 | 3313 | 2005 | -476 | -12.6\% |
| 4 | Skidmore | E | Interstate | 3290 | 2000 | 4020 | 2004 | 730 | 22.2\% |
| 26 | Skidmore | W | Albina | 5410 | 1998 | 5450 | 2004 | 40 | 0.7\% |
| 54 | Skidmore | W | Interstate | 1670 | 2000 | 1500 | 2004 | -170 | -10.2\% |
| 56 | Skidmore | W | Vancouver | 5020 | 2000 | 4470 | 2004 | -550 | -11.0\% |
| 8 | Vancouver | N | Cook* | 9730 | 1999 | 10690 | 2004 | 960 | 9.9\% |
| 16 | Vancouver | N | Portland | 3900 | 1999 | 4090 | 2005 | 190 | 4.9\% |
| 22 | Vancouver | S | Columbia Blvd | 4270 | 2000 | 4349 | 2005 | 79 | 1.9\% |
| 27 | Vancouver | S | Skidmore* | 6140 | 1996 | 6183 | 2005 | 43 | 0.7\% |
| 29 | Vancouver | S | Killingsworth* | 4710 | 1999 | 4722 | 2005 | 12 | 0.3\% |
| 43 | Vancouver | S | Alberta* | 5410 | 2000 | 5170 | 2004 | -240 | -4.4\% |
| 53 | Vancouver | N | Columbia Blvd | 11000 | 2000 | 9930 | 2004 | -1070 | -9.7\% |
| 55 | Vancouver | S | Portland | 4940 | 1996 | 4410 | 2004 | -530 | -10.7\% |
| 63 | Vancouver | S | Cook* | 7770 | 1996 | 6418 | 2005 | -1352 | -17.4\% |
| 11 | Williams | S | Killingsworth* | 4990 | 1999 | 5457 | 2005 | 467 | 9.4\% |
| 18 | Williams | S | Cook* | 8090 | 2000 | 8357 | 2005 | 267 | 3.3\% |
| 21 | Williams | N | Skidmore* | 6190 | 2000 | 6320 | 2004 | 130 | 2.1\% |
| 25 | Williams | N | Cook* | 11620 | 2000 | 11720 | 2004 | 100 | 0.9\% |


| Sum | Average | Sum | Average | Change | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 673437 | 1999 | 619237 | 2004 | -54200 | $-8.0 \%$ |

Average time from before to after data: 5 years

* One way street

Appendix B - Streets Sorted from Greatest Increase to Greatest Decrease

| \# | Street | Of | General Area | Volume 1 | Year 1 | Volume 2 | Year 2 | Change | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mississippi | S | Skidmore | 3795 | 1996 | 5630 | 2004 | 1835 | 48.4\% |
| 2 | Alberta | W | Albina | 7930 | 1998 | 11020 | 2004 | 3090 | 39.0\% |
| 3 | Fremont | E | Mississippi | 3220 | 1995 | 4446 | 2005 | 1226 | 38.1\% |
| 4 | Skidmore | E | Interstate | 3290 | 2000 | 4020 | 2004 | 730 | 22.2\% |
| 5 | Denver | N | Killingsworth | 2830 | 2001 | 3390 | 2004 | 560 | 19.8\% |
| 6 | Denver | S | Portland | 3900 | 2001 | 4560 | 2004 | 660 | 16.9\% |
| 7 | Denver | S | Humboldt | 598 | 1996 | 661 | 2004 | 63 | 10.5\% |
| 8 | Vancouver | N | Cook* | 9730 | 1999 | 10690 | 2004 | 960 | 9.9\% |
| 9 | Alberta | E | Albina | 10560 | 1999 | 11581 | 2005 | 1021 | 9.7\% |
| 10 | Denver | N | Portland | 4910 | 1996 | 5370 | 2004 | 460 | 9.4\% |
| 11 | Williams | S | Killingsworth* | 4990 | 1999 | 5457 | 2005 | 467 | 9.4\% |
| 12 | Denver | S | Lombard | 4910 | 1996 | 5340 | 2004 | 430 | 8.8\% |
| 13 | Alberta | W | Interstate | 3050 | 2002 | 3350 | 2004 | 300 | 10.0\% |
| 14 | Portland | E | Albina | 9740 | 1998 | 10345 | 2005 | 605 | 6.2\% |
| 15 | Killingsworth | W | Interstate | 5120 | 2000 | 5409 | 2005 | 289 | 5.6\% |
| 16 | Vancouver | N | Portland | 3900 | 1999 | 4090 | 2005 | 190 | 4.9\% |
| 17 | Portland | W | Denver | 10760 | 1998 | 11190 | 2004 | 430 | 4.0\% |
| 18 | Williams | S | Cook* | 8090 | 2000 | 8357 | 2005 | 267 | 3.3\% |
| 19 | Killingsworth | E | Albina | 11650 | 2001 | 12000 | 2003 | 350 | 3.0\% |
| 20 | Ainsworth | E | Greeley | 1500 | 2000 | 1540 | 2004 | 40 | 2.7\% |
| 21 | Williams | N | Skidmore* | 6190 | 2000 | 6320 | 2004 | 130 | 2.1\% |
| 22 | Vancouver | S | Columbia Blvd | 4270 | 2000 | 4349 | 2005 | 79 | 1.9\% |
| 23 | Greeley | N | Killingsworth | 16320 | 2001 | 16550 | 2004 | 230 | 1.4\% |
| 24 | Ainsworth | W | Denver | 2130 | 1998 | 2150 | 2004 | 20 | 0.9\% |
| 25 | Williams | N | Cook* | 11620 | 2000 | 11720 | 2004 | 100 | 0.9\% |
| 26 | Skidmore | W | Albina | 5410 | 1998 | 5450 | 2004 | 40 | 0.7\% |
| 27 | Vancouver | S | Skidmore* | 6140 | 1996 | 6183 | 2005 | 43 | 0.7\% |
| 28 | Denver | N | Lombard | 8840 | 1995 | 8900 | 2004 | 60 | 0.7\% |
| 29 | Vancouver | S | Killingsworth* | 4710 | 1999 | 4722 | 2005 | 12 | 0.3\% |
| 30 | Lombard | E | Greeley | 23810 | 1995 | 23660 | 2004 | -150 | -0.6\% |
| 31 | Killingsworth | W | Denver | 4610 | 1998 | 4570 | 2004 | -40 | -0.9\% |
| 32 | Greeley | S | Going | 28230 | 2000 | 27792 | 2005 | -438 | -1.6\% |
| 33 | Mississippi | S | Fremont | 3120 | 2000 | 3063 | 2005 | -57 | -1.8\% |
| 34 | Fremont | E | Williams | 12050 | 2000 | 11790 | 2004 | -260 | -2.2\% |
| 35 | Going | W | Interstate | 24629 | 2000 | 24070 | 2005 | -559 | -2.3\% |
| 36 | Greeley | N | Portland | 6390 | 2000 | 6220 | 2004 | -170 | -2.7\% |
| 37 | Interstate Pl | N | Willis | 2010 | 2001 | 1956 | 2005 | -54 | -2.7\% |
| 38 | Killingsworth | E | Interstate | 7760 | 2000 | 7538 | 2005 | -222 | -2.9\% |
| 39 | Portland | E | Greeley | 11370 | 2000 | 11020 | 2004 | -350 | -3.1\% |


| 40 | Lombard | W | Vancouver | 24940 | 2000 | 23980 | 2004 | -960 | -3.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Killingsworth | W | Albina | 12270 | 2000 | 11761 | 2005 | -509 | -4.1\% |
| 42 | Albina | N | Ainsworth | 5060 | 1999 | 4850 | 2004 | -210 | -4.2\% |
| 43 | Vancouver | S | Alberta* | 5410 | 2000 | 5170 | 2004 | -240 | -4.4\% |
| 44 | Alberta | E | Interstate | 5860 | 2001 | 5590 | 2004 | -270 | -4.6\% |
| 45 | Denver | S | Killingsworth | 2110 | 2000 | 2000 | 2004 | -110 | -5.2\% |
| 46 | Greeley | S | Killingsworth | 18320 | 1999 | 17360 | 2004 | -960 | -5.2\% |
| 47 | Greeley | N | Going | 18850 | 2000 | 17792 | 2005 | -1058 | -5.6\% |
| 48 | Greeley | S | Portland | 17560 | 2000 | 16380 | 2004 | -1180 | -6.7\% |
| 49 | Albina | N | Alberta | 4940 | 1997 | 4580 | 2004 | -360 | -7.3\% |
| 50 | Albina | S | Alberta | 5890 | 2000 | 5420 | 2004 | -470 | -8.0\% |
| 51 | Argyle Way | W | Denver | 7580 | 1994 | 6900 | 2004 | -680 | -9.0\% |
| 52 | Ainsworth | E | Albina | 5240 | 2000 | 4750 | 2004 | -490 | -9.4\% |
| 53 | Vancouver | N | Columbia Blvd | 11000 | 2000 | 9930 | 2004 | -1070 | -9.7\% |
| 54 | Skidmore | W | Interstate | 1670 | 2000 | 1500 | 2004 | -170 | -10.2\% |
| 55 | Vancouver | S | Portland | 4940 | 1996 | 4410 | 2004 | -530 | -10.7\% |
| 56 | Skidmore | W | Vancouver | 5020 | 2000 | 4470 | 2004 | -550 | -11.0\% |
| 57 | Albina | N | Portland | 3540 | 2001 | 3150 | 2004 | -390 | -11.0\% |
| 58 | Denver | S | Interstate | 6700 | 2000 | 5910 | 2004 | -790 | -11.8\% |
| 59 | Russell | E | Mississippi | 3789 | 1995 | 3313 | 2005 | -476 | -12.6\% |
| 60 | Ainsworth | E | Interstate | 4050 | 2000 | 3530 | 2004 | -520 | -12.8\% |
| 61 | Portland | W | Albina | 14420 | 1998 | 12410 | 2004 | -2010 | -13.9\% |
| 62 | Albina | N | Killingsworth | 5960 | 1999 | 4970 | 2004 | -990 | -16.6\% |
| 63 | Vancouver | S | Cook* | 7770 | 1996 | 6418 | 2005 | -1352 | -17.4\% |
| 64 | Interstate Ave | S | Overlook | 10510 | 2000 | 8545 | 2005 | -1965 | -18.7\% |
| 65 | Portland | E | Interstate | 17840 | 2000 | 14320 | 2003 | -3520 | -19.7\% |
| 66 | Denver | N | Columbia | 17910 | 2000 | 13960 | 2004 | -3950 | -22.1\% |
| 67 | Interstate Ave | S | Portland | 13790 | 1995 | 10550 | 2004 | -3240 | -23.5\% |
| 68 | Interstate Ave | N | Killingsworth | 14170 | 1996 | 10700 | 2004 | -3470 | -24.5\% |
| 69 | Interstate Ave | S | Skidmore | 11912 | 2000 | 8765 | 2005 | -3147 | -26.4\% |
| 70 | Ainsworth | W | Interstate | 3460 | 2000 | 2540 | 2004 | -920 | -26.6\% |
| 71 | Interstate Ave | S | Alberta | 18140 | 2001 | 13050 | 2004 | -5090 | -28.1\% |
| 72 | Mississippi | N | Interstate | 2430 | 2000 | 1590 | 2004 | -840 | -34.6\% |
| 73 | Interstate Ave | S | Mississippi | 22000 | 2000 | 13974 | 2005 | -8026 | -36.5\% |
| 74 | Interstate Ave | N | Portland | 15210 | 2000 | 9570 | 2004 | -5640 | -37.1\% |
| 75 | Interstate Ave | N | Lombard | 19080 | 1999 | 8730 | 2004 | -10350 | -54.2\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 673437 \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> 1999 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 619237 \end{gathered}$ | Average $2004$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & -54200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ -8.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Average time from before to after data: 5 years

* One way street

