

SPACC COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Details:

Date: February 18, 2026

Time: 6pm to 8 pm

Location: Parkrose School District
Office

Online: Zoom

Attendees (In-Person/Online):

Name	Role	Name	Role
Alex Millett	CLC	Raul Preciado Mendez	Portland Housing Bureau (PHB)
Alexis Elias	CLC	Brian Moore	Prosper Portland
Andrew Campbell	CLC	Joel Devalcourt	Prosper Portland
Angela Baker	CLC	Annette Stanhope	Community Liaison
Christopher Lambert	CLC		
Emily Winokur	CLC		
Karim Hassanein	CLC		
Lily Burnett	CLC		
Lin Felton	CLC		
Nic Luland	CLC		
Oak Sonfist	CLC		
Sara Johnson	CLC		

Meeting Minutes:

Meeting commenced at 6:00pm

1. Welcome!

Raul Preciado Mendez (PHB) welcomed the group to the meeting.

2. Guiding Agreements

Raul reviewed the guiding agreements for the CLC. There were no requests to modify the agreements as presented

3. Introductions and Roll Call

CLC members and staff introduced themselves and shared their favorite thing about their neighborhood.

4. Administrative Items/ Housekeeping

Raul reviewed the housekeeping items for the CLC.

Andrew Campbell (CLC) requested that meeting materials be distributed as attachments to the calendar invitations.

The CLC provided feedback on the facilitator:

- Lily Burnett (CLC) expressed concern about spending TIF resources on a facilitator.
- Lin Felton (CLC) expressed a desire that there be an alternative pot of funds.
- Nic Luland (CLC) asked about details as well.
- Andrew requested that the facilitator be from the district.

Finally, the CLC were shown how to receive honoraria.

5. Bylaws and Governance

Joel Devalcourt (Prosper Portland) introduced and discussed the topic of bylaws and governance. The CLC discussed the question about how long a break should be had once a member hits the 8-year max.

Raul led the discussion of the voting and operating procedures. The CLC shared their thoughts and proposals:

- Em Winokur (CLC) had questions about the process of decision making (how you talk about and navigate the discussion).
- Oak Sonfist (CLC) asked about who would be leading the discussion.
- Karim Hassanein (CLC) asked if there was more information about this before they would be asked to make a decision.
- Karim offered some considerations/put forth a proposal.
 - Consent based vs consensus – fist to 5

- 3+ is consent, below 3 is a requirement for amendments to the proposal
- Expressed a desire to record the level of enthusiasm e.g. fist to five
- Lily expressed interest in using simple majority for some votes
- Emily expressed ideas around fist blocking action proposing fist to five consensus minus 2.
- Karim proposed to build on Emily's proposal:
 - The importance of understanding both the degree and nature of the dissent.
 - Dissent should be accompanied with proposals for solutions or requests for help from the group
- Lily expressed that she doesn't have issues but wanted to confirm that everyone was comfortable with the idea.
- A question was posed: What would that revision process look like?
- Nic expressed that we are a large group and is concerned that we will be bogged down in voting procedure, not having an avenue for moving forward things when consensus cannot be reached.
- Joel expressed that his expectation is that there will be three decisions over the course of the year. One for each major chunk.
- A question was posed: Are there hybrid decision making structures? E.g. some items get decision by majority whereas others are made by consensus....
 - What would the administrative actions be?
 - Minutes, co-chairs, meeting logistics, member removal
 - Are we using parliamentary language (guidelines for the conversation for itself)?
 - Rusty methods?
 - Roberts Rules?
 - Another proposal was put on the table: If we don't get past something in 30 minutes, we could take a vote to table it and putting a time limit on item discussion.

- There seems to be interest in establishing a discussion process

The CLC chose to move forward with voting with current proposals:

Proposal One: Decision making based on Fist to Five, Consensus Minus Two

Title of Vote	Yes	No
Alex Millett	4	
Alexis Elias	5	
Andrew Campbell	5	
Angela Baker	4	
Christopher Lambert	5	
Emily Winokur	4	
Karim Hassanein	4	
Kyna Harris	-	-
Lily Burnett	5	
Lin Felton	4	
Nicole Luland	3	
Oak Sonfist	3	
Sara Johnson	5	

Proposal One on Decision Making Based on Fist to Five Minus Two passes.

Proposal Two: Simple majority for administrative elements such as:

1. Removal
Discussion: Are there guidelines for which conditions when folks can be removed?
2. Co-chairs
3. Minutes

Title of Vote	Yes	No
Alex Millett	4	
Alexis Elias	4	

Andrew Campbell	3
Angela Baker	3
Christopher Lambert	4
Emily Winokur	4
Karim Hassanein	4
Kyna Harris	- -
Lily Burnett	5
Lin Felton	4
Nicole Luland	3
Oak Sonfist	4
Sara Johnson	4

Proposal Two on Simple majority for administrative elements such as Removals, Co-Chairs, and Meeting Minutes passes.

Proposal Three: 25% Unexcused Absence Removal using Administrative Process*. *Understanding that the CLC will revisit this requirement upon approving the Action Plan.

Title of Vote	Yes	No
Alex Millett	4	
Alexis Elias	4	
Andrew Campbell	4	
Angela Baker		
Christopher Lambert	5	
Emily Winokur	5	
Karim Hassanein	5	
Kyna Harris	-	-
Lily Burnett	5	
Lin Felton	5	
Nicole Luland	5	
Oak Sonfist	5	
Sara Johnson	5	

Proposal Three on 25% Unexcused Absence Removal using Administrative Process* passes. *Understanding that the CLC will revisit this requirement upon approving the Action Plan.

6. Co-Chair Nominations

Joel introduced the co-chair responsibilities.

A question was posed to staff: is there an additional commitment for the co-chair (one additional meeting)

7. Open Discussion: MURP Project

8. Public Comment

9. Scheduling:

- a. 3rd Wed is the hold, some logistics are necessary to sort out
- b. 6pm-8pm

10. Next Steps

Meeting adjourned.